

1

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

**SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

4 Case No. 12-12020-mg

## 6 | In the Matter of:

7

**8 RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,**

9

## 10 | Debtors.

11

13 ||

14 | United States Bank

15

16 | New York, New York

17

18 | September 21, 2012

19 | Page

20

21

22 | BEFORE:

23 HON. MARTIN GLENN

24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

25

1

2 Telephone Conference, on the Record, RE: setting dates for  
3 discovery in reference to RMBS trust settlement. Marked Up  
4 Documents: 320, 321, 1429

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 Transcribed by: Sharona Shapiro

21 eScribers, LLC

22 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607

23 New York, NY 10040

24 (973)406-2250

25 operations@escribers.net

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250  
operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1

2 A P P E A R A N C E S :

3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

4 Attorneys for Debtors

5 1290 Avenue of the Americas

6 New York, NY 10104

7

8 BY: ANTHONY PRINCI, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

9 JAMIE A. LEVITT, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

10 DANIEL E. CLARK, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

11 DARRYL P. RAINS, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

12

13

14 ALSTON & BIRD

15 Attorneys for Wells Fargo as Trustee

16 90 Park Avenue

17 12th Floor

18 New York, NY 10016

19

20 BY: MICHAEL E. JOHNSON, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

21 JOHN C. WEITNAUER, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

22

23

24

25

1 CADWALADER, WICKERSHAM & TAFT LLP

2 Attorneys for MBIA Insurance Co.

3 One World Financial Center

4 New York, NY 10281

5

6 BY: JONATHAN M. HOFF, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

7

8

9 DECHERT LLP

10 Attorneys for Bank of New York Mellon

11 1095 Avenue of the Americas

12 New York, NY 10036

13

14 BY: GLENN E. SIEGEL, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

15

16

17 GIBBS & BRUNS LLP

18 Attorneys for RMBS Steering Committee

19 1100 Louisiana Street

20 Suite 5300

21 Houston, TX 77002

22

23 BY: ROBERT MADDEN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

24

25

1 JONES DAY

2 Attorneys for Financial Guaranty Insurance Company  
3 222 East 41st Street  
4 New York, NY 10017

5  
6 BY: HOWARD F. SIDMAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)  
7 RICHARD L. WYNNE, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

8  
9  
10 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP

11 Attorneys for Official Creditors' Committee  
12 1177 Avenue of the Americas  
13 New York, NY 10036

14  
15 BY: PHILIP BENTLEY, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)  
16 PHILIP S. KAUFMAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

17  
18  
19 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

20 Attorneys for Ally Financial & Ally Bank  
21 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.  
22 Washington, DC 20005

23  
24 BY: DANIEL T. DONOVAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

1 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

2 Attorneys for Ally Financial & Ally Bank  
3 601 Lexington Avenue  
4 New York, NY 10022

5

6 BY: RAY C. SCHROCK, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

7 CRAIG BRUENS, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

8

9

10 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP

11 Attorneys for Official Creditors' Committee  
12 919 Third Avenue  
13 New York, NY 10022

14

15 BY: KENNETH ECKSTEIN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

16

17

18 LOEB & LOEB LLP

19 Attorneys for Wilmington Trust, National Association  
20 as Indenture Trustee  
21 345 Park Avenue  
22 New York, NY 10154

23

24 BY: WALTER H. CURCHACK, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

1

2 MCKOOL SMITH

3 Attorneys for Freddie Mac

4 600 Travis Street

5 Suite 7000

6 Houston, TX 77002

7

8 BY: KYLE LONERGAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

9

10

11 MORGAN LEWIS & BICKLUS LLP

12 Attorneys for Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas

13 101 Park Avenue

14 New York, NY 10178

15

16 BY: JAMES L. GARRITY, JR., ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

17

18

19 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

20 Attorneys for AIG

21 51 Madison Avenue

22 22nd Floor

23 New York, NY 10010

24

25 BY: SCOTT C. SHELLEY, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250  
operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1       ROPEs & GRAY LLP

2               Attorneys for RMBS Noteholders

3               1211 Avenue of the Americas

4               New York, NY 10036

5

6 BY: KEITH H. WOFFORD, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

7

8

9 SEWARD & KISSEL LLP

10              Attorneys for U.S. Bank National Association

11              as Securitization Trustee

12              One Battery Park Plaza

13              New York, NY 10004

14

15 BY: ARLENE R. ALVES, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

16 MARK D. KOTWICK, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

17

18

19 WHITE & CASE LLP

20              Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Junior Secured Noteholders

21              1155 Avenue of the Americas

22              New York, NY 10036

23

24 BY: HARRISON DENMAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

25 J. CHRISTOPHER SHORE, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**  
2                   **P R O C E E D I N G S**

9

2                   THE COURT: All right. Those in the courtroom can be  
3 seated. Okay. We're on the record in Residential Capital. I  
4 have the list of appearances.

5                   Who wants to speak for the debtor?

6                   MS. LEVITT: Your Honor, this is Jamie Levitt and  
7 Anthony Princi of Morrison & Foerster on behalf of the debtor.

8                   THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Levitt. Go ahead.

9                   MS. LEVITT: Your Honor, and I apologize for the  
10 timing, but we did just send to the Court a proposed schedule  
11 that we have negotiated with only the creditors' committee. In  
12 the crunch of time we were able to reach an agreement with the  
13 committee. We have provided it to all the other parties who  
14 are on the line, but I know they haven't had a long opportunity  
15 to review it, although we have provided pieces of this along  
16 the way since the 19th, just a couple of days ago, after our  
17 hearing.

18                  Your Honor, we think that this schedule, in large  
19 part, tracks what the Court had entered in the previous  
20 schedule, while allowing for the additional week of time. It  
21 provides for timing for discovery, for experts, for objections.

22                  And then one thing to note, Your Honor -- if Your  
23 Honor would like to go through date by date we can -- we  
24 changed the final date to November 7th. The Court had  
25 mentioned November 6th but that was election day and we weren't

**RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

10

1 sure that the Court wanted anything on that day.

2 THE COURT: Well, the court's closed but that doesn't  
3 keep people from filing or me from looking online.

4 Hold on.

5 MS. LEVITT: Your Honor, I just note, while you're  
6 looking, that we did put in brackets pieces that pertained to  
7 parties with whom we did not negotiate, and then there was one  
8 word that we disagreed on with the committee, and that's in  
9 brackets.

10 THE COURT: Okay. I'm okay about changing it to  
11 November 7th. Why don't you just -- I wish you had all -- I  
12 mean, I think there was enough time to try and reach an  
13 agreement with everybody so I'm disappointed that you  
14 haven't -- don't have an agreed schedule. Lay out the dates  
15 because there may be people on the phone who have not seen  
16 it --

17 MS. LEVITT: Okay.

18 THE COURT: -- and I certainly haven't seen it.

19 MS. LEVITT: Okay. So Your Honor, for everyone's  
20 benefit and the Court's benefit, I will walk through the dates,  
21 and I, as you, hope we'll have substantial agreement with  
22 everyone.

23 The first date was that Ally or AFI would complete its  
24 rolling production by September 24. The production dates, Your  
25 Honor, we took from the ones that were mentioned in court on

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

11

1 the 19th.

2 Number two, any party who wants to -- any party  
3 seeking to introduce expert testimony needs to designate  
4 experts by September 28th. The debtors will file any  
5 supplemental expert reports on September 28th. The debtors  
6 will substantially complete their rolling production by October  
7 3rd. The Talcott Franklin group agreed to substantially  
8 complete their rolling production by October 3rd.

9 Your Honor, I bracketed the steering committee because  
10 I believe there is an objection between the committee and the  
11 Kathy Patrick steering committee group as to whether they will  
12 produce documents, so that's going to need to be resolved  
13 separately with briefings between them.

14 Number six, Your Honor, is any party seeking to  
15 introduce direct testimony has to designate fact witnesses by  
16 October 5th. That doesn't include adverse witnesses which  
17 could come up later.

18 By October 5th, Your Honor, the debtors agreed to  
19 provide a partial privilege log. We hope to be substantially  
20 completed.

21 Number eight, the Ally, the Talcott Franklin group and  
22 the steering committee group would provide a privilege log on  
23 October 5th. Again, a question mark with respect to the  
24 steering committee group; I understand they're briefing that  
25 issue of production.

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

12

1                   Number nine, Your Honor, the debtors will provide a  
2 final privilege log by October 8th, 10 a.m. in the morning.  
3 And then any party that wants to challenge a withholding or  
4 designation of a document as privileged would have to meet and  
5 confer with producing parties by 4 p.m. that day, October 8th.  
6 And then the parties would submit letters to Your Honor, if  
7 there were objections, on the 9th. And the Court, if it works  
8 with the Court's schedule, would hear these disputes on October  
9 10th.

10                  THE COURT: Which disputes are you talking about now?

11                  MS. LEVITT: The disputes with respect to designations  
12 of privilege. So privilege log disputes would be resolved by  
13 the Court on October 10th.

14                  THE COURT: Hold on.

15                  Go ahead, Ms. Levitt.

16                  MS. LEVITT: And Your Honor, there's a bracket around  
17 the word "any" in number eleven. I will flag that now, and Mr.  
18 Bentley or someone from the committee may want to discuss that.  
19 And the issue was that we wanted an privilege related disputes  
20 resolved on October 10. They wanted to take out the "any" to  
21 reserve the rights to raise them later. We thought there  
22 needed to be an end date.

23                  Your Honor, number twelve, any objecting party other  
24 than the debtors would file expert reports by 10 a.m. on  
25 October 19th. The debtors have previously filed their expert

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

13

1 report.

2                   Number thirteen, fact discovery ends on October 19th.

3                   Number fourteen, expert discovery of the debtors'  
4 experts, which had been previously produced, would end on  
5 October 19th.

6                   Expert discovery of the objecting parties' experts  
7 would end on October 26th, so the discovery of the objecting  
8 parties' experts would take place the week after their reports  
9 were produced.

10                  Number sixteen, any objections to the motion shall be  
11 filed on October 24. The debtor reply along with reply expert  
12 reports would be filed on November 7.

13                  Then all other materials would go to Your Honor. All  
14 adverse witness lists, exhibit lists, direct testimony,  
15 supplemental expert declarations, also filed on the 7th.

16                  Number nineteen, Your Honor, is the hearing of the  
17 motion, and number twenty is the deadline for the trustees to  
18 accept or reject would be fourteen days after Your Honor  
19 entered an order with respect to the lead settlement.

20                  THE COURT: All right. Let me -- again, I tried to  
21 write these dates down as you were going along, Ms. Levitt. I  
22 don't have it in front of me. With --

23                  MS. LEVITT: Your Honor --

24                  THE COURT: Let me just say, with respect to the  
25 hearing, the hearing is going to begin at 9 a.m. each day

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

14

1 rather than 10.

2 Go ahead, Ms. Levitt, you started to say something.

3 MS. LEVITT: I apologize for interrupting you. We had  
4 e-mailed a PDF of this document to your clerks. I don't know  
5 if it will be useful to have that document in front of you.

6 THE COURT: Well, you know, I've been on the bench all  
7 morning and I'm about -- as soon as we get off the phone here,  
8 I've got a hearing that's resuming. So I certainly checked  
9 this morning to see whether there was anything filed or  
10 submitted to chambers and there had not been. I'm --

11 MS. LEVITT: Would you like me to go through them?

12 THE COURT: No.

13 Ms. Patrick, are you on the line?

14 MR. MADDEN: Your Honor, this is Robert Madden. I'm  
15 Ms. Patrick's partner.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Madden, tell me what it is --  
17 you're objecting to production of documents on what basis?

18 MR. MADDEN: Yes, Your Honor, what we're objecting to  
19 is the production of -- and I believe this dispute is over the  
20 settlement negotiations, Your Honor. I think Ms. Patrick  
21 talked about that briefly. We don't oppose getting settlement  
22 communications because we're getting them from Ally and the  
23 debtor. The narrow issue is whether we are also going to be  
24 required to produce them. Ms. Patrick, I think, previewed that  
25 with Your Honor at the last hearing.

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

1                   THE COURT: Well, she previewed it with respect to  
2                   testimony, and I directed that no depositions of outside  
3                   counsel be taken.

4                   (Interference on the phone line)

5                   THE COURT: Hello?

6                   THE OPERATOR: One moment, Your Honor.

7                   One moment.

8                   We should be good now, Your Honor.

9                   THE COURT: We're not.

10                  THE OPERATOR: One moment.

11                  THE COURT: Is this a problem with CourtCall or with  
12                  someone on the line connected in?

13                  THE OPERATOR: I'm searching the lines to find that  
14                  out, Your Honor. One moment.

15                  Please go ahead, Your Honor.

16                  THE COURT: All right. I hope everyone can hear me  
17                  all right.

18                  I've been handed a copy of the proposed scheduling  
19                  order. I'm just looking at it now, so everybody just sit  
20                  tight.

21                  Let me hear again why the steering committee counsel  
22                  believes they don't have to produce documents. It's certainly  
23                  not internal documents, but documents that were exchanged in  
24                  connection with settlement. I certainly ordered from the bench  
25                  that the depositions of outside counsel not take place at this

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

16

1 point. But let me hear what your -- the rationale as to  
2 document production is. &&

3                   MR. MADDEN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Robert Madden  
4 of Gibbs & Bruns. There's both a practical issue and a legal  
5 issue, and so let me start with the legal issue. There's a  
6 long line of authority -- and we've cited these cases to the  
7 committee -- that hold that settlement negotiations, in the  
8 context of settlement approval such as is before Your Honor,  
9 are not subject to discovery, absent some evidence of collusion  
10 between the negotiating parties. And I know Your Honor has  
11 raised the issue that one of the Iridium factors is that  
12 there's a finding that the settlement negotiations were  
13 conducted at arms' length. But I would point out that these  
14 cases arise in precisely that context, that most of the cases  
15 are in the class action settlement approval context where  
16 finding of arms' lengths negotiation, just as under Iridium, is  
17 required.

18                  This line of cases has been applied by the bankruptcy  
19 court in the 9019 context in a case called In re Leeway Holding  
20 Co. out of the Southern District of Ohio. And so that is the  
21 legal basis upon which we base our resistance to producing  
22 settlement communications, which is that there's a long line of  
23 authority that says they're not relevant and don't need to be  
24 produced.

25                  The practical issues are really two-fold, and one of

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

17

1       them is something that Ms. Patrick mentioned, which is that our  
2       clients are not just in this case, that we're also in the Bank  
3       of America case before Justice Kapnick where we have in fact  
4       had this dispute and we've fought it, and in fact, Justice  
5       Kapnick has ruled in our favor on that issue.

6                   But more importantly, we're also, as is publicly  
7       known, in negotiations and pursuing remedies across the  
8       industry and with other banks, and it is a critical component,  
9       for us to be able to have any hope of success in those  
10      negotiations, that we will make all efforts necessary to  
11      protect the confidentiality of those settlement communications.  
12      And in fact, that's one of the reasons that the courts have  
13      developed this rule, which is that the importance of the policy  
14      to promote settlement and recognizing that settlement  
15      negotiations are going to be inquired into, that that creates a  
16      chilling effect. And so --

17                  THE COURT: When are you going to be prepared to file  
18       your brief? I'm not waiting.

19                  MR. MADDEN: I'm sorry?

20                  THE COURT: When will you file -- when will you be  
21       prepared to file a brief on this issue? I'm not prepared to  
22       wait until October to have this sort of --

23                  MR. MADDEN: No, Your Honor. And we've in fact  
24       negotiated a briefing schedule. The committee is going to file  
25       a brief as to why they don't believe the authorities we pointed

**RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

18

1 out to them apply. They're going to file that on Tuesday by  
2 noon, and we'll file our response by the close of business on  
3 Wednesday.

4 THE COURT: Close of business Wednesday, September  
5 26th?

6 MR. MADDEN: Yes, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead with that briefing.  
8 After receiving both briefs -- is anyone else putting in a  
9 brief on this issue?

10 Who's on the phone for the committee?

11 MR. BENTLEY: Your Honor, Phillip Bentley and my  
12 partner Philip Kaufman for the committee.

13 Mr. Kaufman will be addressing this issue, to the  
14 extent Your Honor would like to hear from us on this issue.

15 THE COURT: Well, let me see the briefs. Get the  
16 briefs in next week. After receiving the briefs I'll determine  
17 when the hearing should be. I'm not going to wait until the  
18 middle of October. If I understood the schedule, people were  
19 saying October 10th would be a hearing on it?

20 MS. LEVITT: October 10th, Your Honor, is the hearing  
21 on the privilege objection so that's an issue.

22 THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to wait until October  
23 10th to resolve this issue because I don't want any delay from  
24 any ruling I have, if I order the documents be produced and be  
25 told, oh, we need time to get the documents ready for

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

19

1 production.

2 Let me --

3 MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, Kenneth Eckstein. We do  
4 have an omnibus hearing on the 27th.

5 THE COURT: Well, when I get the briefs I'll look at  
6 the briefs and decide when I'm going to hear it. It may not be  
7 in an omnibus hearing day.

8 MS. LEVITT: Your Honor --

9 THE COURT: Explain the rationale for not having to  
10 prepare a privilege log.

11 MR. MADDEN: The issue, Your Honor, is we have  
12 prepared a privilege log. We reached agreement with the  
13 committee and others that served us with a subpoena that given  
14 most of the -- that virtually everything we were claiming a  
15 privilege for was just simply our own internal communications  
16 with our client and our work product analysis that -- we worked  
17 out an agreement that we would produce a privilege log, that  
18 rather than identify documents on a document by document basis,  
19 we'd do it on a categorical basis. And we were asked then to  
20 supplement that with a privilege log of our -- we also claimed  
21 common interest privilege of our communications with the  
22 trustee -- with our trustees. We've produced a document by  
23 document privilege log on that basis. So I'm not aware that  
24 there's a dispute that we --

25 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this. You're

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250  
operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

**RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

20

1 asserting a basis to withhold production of documents  
2 concerning the settlement negotiations with the counterparties  
3 to those negotiations, correct? Those are not communi -- I'm  
4 not talking about internal communications with your own  
5 clients. Are you saying you're not going to produce a  
6 privilege log as to those documents you're withholding that  
7 concern communications with the counterparties in -- you're  
8 claiming they're privileged, but you're not going to produce a  
9 privilege log as to those?

10 MR. MADDEN: Let me clarify, Your Honor. We're not  
11 claiming a privilege. The line of cases that we're relying  
12 upon do not create a privilege.

13 THE COURT: How many documents do you have,  
14 approximately, that relate to communications with the  
15 counterparties to the negotiations?

16 MR. MADDEN: I would guess it's probably several  
17 hundred, Your Honor. We haven't been asked to -- to my  
18 knowledge there isn't an issue --

19 THE COURT: Well, I'm telling you right now I want a  
20 privilege log. You don't have to file it at this point. When  
21 I hear this dispute I want to have a privilege log that I can  
22 look at. You're saying you're not claiming a privilege,  
23 you're asserting another basis for not having to produce the  
24 documents. I'm telling you right now, I may or may not order  
25 production, but I'm not going to accept any delay, so you're

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**                   21

1       not going to sit back; you figure out exactly how many  
2       documents the to-from dates, subject, et cetera, because when I  
3       hear the dispute I'm going to want to see that document.

4                   MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, this is Philip Kaufman from  
5       Kramer Levin --

6                   THE COURT: Yes.

7                   MR. KAUFMAN: -- for the committee.

8                   I'm not sure the Court understood the steering  
9       committee's position. They are not asserting a privilege --

10                  THE COURT: Oh, I understand. I understand; they  
11       believe there's another basis to decline to produce the  
12       documents.

13                  MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, and that other basis, Your Honor,  
14       is that they're not relevant.

15                  THE COURT: Well, look --

16                  MR. KAUFMAN: That is their position.

17                  THE COURT: -- I'm telling them, if they're not  
18       producing the documents, I want a log of each of the documents  
19       that they're withholding. Okay? You don't want to call it a  
20       privilege log, don't call it a privilege log, but if I wind up  
21       ordering production of the documents I'm not going to tolerate  
22       any delay. This case is moving --

23                  MR. MADDEN: Your Honor, we'll produce that --

24                  THE COURT: -- forward.

25                  MR. MADDEN: -- that log, and I can tell you that

**RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

22

1 we've pulled the documents, and to the extent that Your Honor  
2 orders that they need to be produced we will produce them  
3 immediately.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have them Bates numbered  
5 already?

6 MR. MADDEN: Yes.

7 THE COURT: All right. I want to see a log of each of  
8 the documents that you're withholding on this basis. I'm not  
9 deciding the issue now, but if I order production I don't want  
10 any delay. There's no room for delay in this schedule.

11 MR. MADDEN: Understood, Your Honor, we absolutely  
12 agree. I do want to note for Your Honor that these documents  
13 are the same documents that Ally and the debtors have agreed to  
14 produce, but we will certainly --

15 THE COURT: Then I don't understand what -- you know,  
16 if the other parties are producing them, I don't understand why  
17 you're withholding them, but I'll wait and see the briefs.

18 MR. MADDEN: Understood.

19 THE COURT: Can you confirm that -- well, I don't want  
20 to go through this exercise of deciding an issue if the exact  
21 same documents are being produced by other parties. So --

22 MR. MADDEN: But that --

23 THE COURT: -- confirm -- stop. Confirm with the  
24 other parties who are producing the documents and confirm  
25 whether each of the documents that you have is already being

**RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

23

1 produced by another party, because if they obviate the need  
2 for -- if that representation is made on the record then I  
3 don't have to go -- I probably don't have to go ahead and --  
4 I'm not looking for duplicative production.

5 MR. KAUFMAN: And Your Honor, this is Philip Kaufman  
6 again. That is exactly what I had suggested to the steering  
7 committee as a way to avoid this.

8 THE COURT: Well, I'm directing that that conversation  
9 take place. If you can't represent to me that we're talking  
10 about the exact same documents -- if the other parties are  
11 producing the documents and you have exactly -- and to the  
12 extent they're exactly the same -- Mr. Bentley, I take it you  
13 don't need them from the steering committee if you're getting  
14 them from the other parties, would you agree with that?

15 MR. BENTLEY: That is correct, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Okay. So we're talking about whether  
17 there are any additional documents that you have that were  
18 exchanged with the counterparties that aren't being produced by  
19 the debtors or AFI. Get that resolved by the time -- when I  
20 say get that resolved, get it resolved -- what I'm interested  
21 in is if there are additional documents I want the  
22 identification of those documents. So work with the other  
23 parties to see if you can resolve this. It may turn out to be  
24 a nonissue.

25 MR. BENTLEY: We'll do that, Your Honor.

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

24

1                   THE COURT: All right. What I would like, Ms. Levitt,  
2 is since not everybody has had an opportunity to review the  
3 schedule, I want -- do you want to try and get the rest of  
4 those issues resolved with all other parties, get their  
5 agreement to this schedule, and by noon on Monday any party who  
6 objects to any portion of the schedule will file something in  
7 writing on the docket to that effect. But you ought to try and  
8 work it out. I'm not going to enter a schedule until everybody  
9 has seen it and agreed to it. And if you don't agree to it  
10 I'll resolve the disputes, but my goal -- my hope was that you  
11 would all resolve this schedule amicably. The only two dates I  
12 insisted on was the date that everything had to be submitted to  
13 the Court, a week before the hearing, and the other dates I  
14 tried to leave to you to work out. So it looks like you've  
15 substantially done it, but if you get it all worked out, Ms.  
16 Levitt, then all that's going to be required is a single -- you  
17 can call my chambers and then just file one piece of paper that  
18 says the schedule has been agreed to by all the parties. Okay?

19                   MS. LEVITT: Okay, thank you, Your Honor.

20                   THE COURT: All right.

21                   MR. BENTLEY: Your Honor, if I may, Phillip Bentley  
22 for the committee.

23                   THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Bentley.

24                   MR. BENTLEY: There were two issues that Ms. Levitt  
25 and I would like to raise with Your Honor today, if the Court

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

25

1 can accommodate us.

2 THE COURT: Quickly.

3 MR. BENTLEY: Okay. One is the word "substantially"  
4 appears in a number of paragraphs: The various parties will  
5 "substantially" complete their productions by specified dates.  
6 We agreed to that on the condition that the debtor agreed that  
7 the word "substantially" is being used in a way consistent with  
8 Your Honor's comments earlier this week, namely, if it's just a  
9 few documents then it's substantially complete but if it's  
10 anything more than that it's not substantially complete. And I  
11 believe Ms. Levitt agrees with that interpretation.

12 THE COURT: Ms. Levitt?

13 MS. LEVITT: Yes, Your Honor, we believe any  
14 immaterial lack in production is not an issue and is otherwise  
15 substantially complete.

16 THE COURT: All right. And with that understanding  
17 you're both satisfied with the inclusion of the word; I see  
18 where the word "substantially" has been used.

19 MR. BENTLEY: The one other concern we had on that  
20 issue, Your Honor, is we intend to raise with the remaining  
21 parties -- we've already raised with them, we're going to have  
22 a final meet and confer with them very early next week about  
23 which custodians filed their assertion for electronic  
24 documents, e-mails and the like. We want to make sure that  
25 there are no disputes before their production deadlines come

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

26

1       around, and we don't want anybody to say, on their production  
2       deadline, oh, we didn't realize such and such a custodian was  
3       supposed to be served. So we ended up running through those  
4       issues now with the various parties. We expect that we'll be  
5       able to satisfactorily resolve that. If we can't, we may need  
6       to come back to Your Honor and ask for prompt relief on that.

7                   THE COURT: Mr. Bentley, if you need -- if you can't  
8       resolve it, contact chambers, arrange a call and we'll resolve  
9       it quickly. With this schedule, there is no room for slippage.  
10      So you're going to have one very unhappy judge if we get closer  
11      to the trial date and it suddenly turns out somebody didn't  
12      search for documents, produce documents. So get all those  
13      issues ironed out now. This is a very aggressive schedule.

14                  MR. BENTLEY: We appreciate that, Your Honor, and we  
15      will do so.

16                  The one other issue Ms. Levitt and I wanted to raise  
17      relates to paragraph 11 of the order that's in front of the  
18      Court.

19                  THE COURT: Okay.

20                  MR. BENTLEY: You'll notice the word "any" in that  
21      paragraph is bracketed. Let me explain to you why the company  
22      objects to the word "any" remaining in that. This is the one  
23      issue that we're not able to agree on with the debtors. The  
24      debtors will be giving out, at 10 a.m. on the morning of the  
25      8th, their final privilege log. We don't know how many

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

27

1 documents will be on that log; it might be hundreds. We are  
2 going to do our darndest to make sure that we raise all issues  
3 with the Court the next day, but because that schedule is so  
4 tight there's an outside chance we might miss something and  
5 might have to come back to the Court a little bit later --

6                   THE COURT: Take the word "any" out. Hopefully you  
7 will have -- the disputes will be narr -- certainly between the  
8 debtor and the committee I hope the disputes will be very  
9 narrow and we'll deal with it accordingly. I only have the  
10 capacity to deal with a certain number of issues at one time.

11                  All right. Let me say if there are disputes about  
12 documents on the privilege log, I say this with some  
13 trepidation, but I want unredacted copies of the documents  
14 delivered to chambers for an in camera review at the time I  
15 resolve the objections. If it turns out you bring a truckload,  
16 you better bring a bulletproof vest as well.

17                  MS. LEVITT: Your Honor, we'll look for one.

18                  MR. BENTLEY: Thank you, Your Honor, that resolves the  
19 issues that we wanted to raise.

20                  THE COURT: Okay.

21                  MS. LEVITT: Your Honor, as the eternal optimist --  
22 this is Jamie Levitt for the debtors, I believe --

23                  THE COURT: You know, you've worked out most of the  
24 issues between you and the debtors, so I'm hopeful that that'll  
25 happen again.

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

28

1                   Go ahead, Ms. Levitt, I'm sorry.

2                   MS. LEVITT: No, Your Honor, I just wanted to say that  
3                   I do believe, and I may be overly optimistic, that we really  
4                   probably have worked out most issues with all parties. I don't  
5                   foresee a huge amount of objection, and we'll work it out by  
6                   noon on Monday.

7                   There is one thing that has been raised by the trustee  
8                   that I will just raise in fairness to them, which is on numbers  
9                   12 and 16 we had set a date of expert reports on the 19th and  
10                  objections on the 24th. I know the trustees, Your Honor, would  
11                  prefer a staggered date so that the twelfth would say October  
12                  17th for all reports other than the committee and the trustees  
13                  and October 19th for the committee and the trustees. And  
14                  likewise on number sixteen, I know that the trustees would like  
15                  October 22nd for all objections other than the committee and  
16                  trustees, and the 24th for the committee and trustees. We can  
17                  try to work that out, Your Honor, unless Your Honor has a  
18                  position on that.

19                  THE COURT: See if you can work it out, okay?

20                  MS. LEVITT: Thank you, Your Honor.

21                  THE COURT: All right.

22                  MR. CLARK: Your Honor, this is Dan Clark on behalf of  
23                  the debtors. Just one quick practical question, please.

24                  THE COURT: Yes.

25                  MR. CLARK: Would you like hard copies of the

1                   **RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.**

29

1 documents, the unredacted copies, or do you --

2                   THE COURT: Yes. No, I -- you know, again, the  
3 caution about a truckload, but no, I want to see the paper  
4 because it's faster for me to look at the paper than it is to  
5 search each document on the computer.

6                   MR. CLARK: Okay.

7                   THE COURT: You know, when we get closer to that date  
8 let me know -- in advance of it, let me know what the volume of  
9 documents you're fighting about, if at all. Okay? And maybe  
10 I'll change my view or maybe I'll require both electronic and  
11 paper. But again, you really -- I'm hopeful you won't be  
12 fighting about very many documents. Okay?

13                  MS. LEVITT: Understood, Your Honor.

14                  THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned.

15                  (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 2:36 PM)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 C E R T I F I C A T I O N

3

4 I, Sharona Shapiro, certify that the foregoing transcript is a  
5 true and accurate record of the proceedings.

6

*Sharona Shapiro*

7

8

9 SHARONA SHAPIRO

10 AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET\*\*D 492

11

12

13 eScribers

14 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607

15 New York, NY 10040

16 Date: September 23, 2012

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25